arXiv:1511.08703v2 [math.DG] 25 May 2016

ON THE LIE AND CARTAN THEORY OF INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS, II

A. KUMPERA

Abstract. We discuss the basic properties of Lie groupoids and Lie pseudo-groups in view of applying these techniques to the analysis of Jordan-Hölder resolutions and the subsequent integration of partial differential equations. This is the summit of Lie and Cartan's work. The present manuscript is the extension to Lie groupoids of what has already been discussed previously, in [38], for Lie groups (*cf.* [5]).

1. Introduction

Differential equations have been studied for a long time since Newton, Leibniz and Monge. Nevertheless we owe, first to Sophus Lie and later to Élie Cartan, the structuring of this problem with the introduction of the *finite and infinite continuous groups of transformations* leaving invariant these equations ([60, 5, 6, 7]). It is however worthwhile to observe ([34, 38, 41]) that whereas Lie was most incredibly successful in dealing with equations of finite type (the solutions depending only upon a finite number of parameters,[53, 56, 58]) for he had a deep knowledge and understanding of finite continuous groups, in the general context he was unable to go any further beyond examining a few specific examples involving the simple infinite and transitive continuous groups. For example, he showed that Jacobi's last multiplier method was the best possible integration method - shortest, most accurate and of lowest degree - due to the fact that the infinite group of all volume preserving transformations is simple. He was aware of the four classes of (complex) transitive simple pseudo-groups of transformations but rather uneasy whether these were the only ones, thus preventing him to take any benefits stemming from a systematic use of Jordan-Hölder resolutions ([34]). Consequently, it remained to Cartan

Date: April, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C05; Secondary 53C15, 53C17.

Key words and phrases. Lie groupoids, normality, quotients, Jordan-Hölder resolutions, differential systems, integration, Pfaffian systems, local models.

to develop the infinite dimensional theory with a touch only accessible to the most illuminated. In his doctoral dissertation ([5]), Cartan classified the transitive complex simple Lie pseudo-groups showing that these were precisely the four classes announced by Sophus Lie (see also [9] and [49]). Much later ([12]), he obtained the classification of the real forms and could start definitely working on what really mattered to him namely, the devising of integration processes for differential systems (partial differential equations) with the help of Jordan-Hölder resolutions for the Lie pseudo-groups of all the local equivalences, defined on the base spaces, of the given systems ([6, 7, 8, 9, 14, 15, 17]). We also find it appropriate to mention here Cartan's covariant approach to Lie pseudo-groups theory. A Cartan pseudo-group, in the terminology of Kuranishi and Matsushima ([48, 68]), is a pseudo-group of local transformations defined on an analytic manifold M of dimension n and satisfying the following conditions ([28]):

1. There exist $m + p = n$ (point-wise) independent analytic linear differential forms $\{\omega^i, \varpi^\lambda\}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, defined on M and that satisfy the structure equations

$$d\omega^i = 1/2\ c^i_{jk}\omega^j \wedge \omega^k + a^i_{j\lambda}\omega^j \wedge \varpi^\lambda$$

where the coefficients are analytic functions, the c^i_{jk} are skew-symmetric in the lower indices, some of the forms ϖ^λ are a basis for the first integrals of the invariance fibering of the pseudo-group action and the matrices $a_\lambda = [a^i_{j\lambda}]$ are linearly independent at each point.

2. A local transformation φ belongs to the pseudo-group if and only if it preserves each ω^i *i.e.*, $\varphi^*\omega^i = \omega^i$ for all indices i.

A Cartan pseudo-group is a Lie pseudo-group of order one and we observe that the structure equations provide all the information required for the analysis of the so-called *Lie co-algebroid*. Furthermore, we also observe that the c^i_{jk} provide the structure of the corresponding first order Lie algebroid, which can also be defined in the obvious way with the help of the forms ω^i, and the matrices a_λ describe the isotropy algebras at the various points. It should finally be mentioned that any Lie pseudo-group of order k can be replaced by an equivalent Cartan pseudo-group since it suffices, for this, to prolong the initially given pseudo-group to $\Pi_k M$, the groupoid of all invertible $k-$jets, restrict this prolongation to the manifold of the differential equation that defines this $k - th$ order pseudo-group and finally choose an appropriate free set of contact 1-forms in restriction to the equation.

As for the notion of *invariant* differential 1-form with respect to an integrable Pfaffian system S (*invariant intégral absolu* in the sense of Cartan, [14]), we refer the reader to [38]. These forms play a fundamental role in the integration of S by quadratures.

Many years ago, Jordan-Hölder sequences for finite dimensional Lie groups were examined in [34] and rather curious and surprising situations were unveiled, the results having been later applied to the local equivalence problem for contact stuctures ([32]) and the integration of equations of finite type ([38, 44]). In particular, *multi-flag* and *truncated multi-flag* systems are discussed in the latter reference showing their relevance in the integration problem for under-determined systems of ordinary differential equations. As mentioned earlier, differential equations (transitively) invariant under the action of a finite dimensional Lie group are a very restricted subject and, in order to examine arbitrary equations of any order, we are forced to consider much broader structures. In our present context, we shall consider the differentiable categories and groupoids introduced by Ehresmann ([20]) and study, in a first step, the Jordan-Hölder resolutions for these objects though what really matters is the corresponding knowledge for Lie pseudo-groups. We shall also make an attempt to apply these resolutions towards the integration problem for arbitrary differential systems and this will lead us to take a glance at one of the most beautiful, fascinating and surprising articles ever written by Élie Cartan ([10], see also [11] and [13]).

The differential properties of Lie groupoids are subordinate to an algebraic structure namely, a groupoid structure. However, the restrictions thus imposed are much weaker than in the case of Lie groups since, in general, there is a small part of the groupoid, namely the subset of units, which does not carry any algebraic structure at all, except a trivial action of the groupoid itself, and the composition in the groupoid is only partially defined. As in the case of Lie groups, many algebraic and differential properties of the Lie groupoids can be described as well as derived in terms of the corresponding algebraic properties of their Lie algebras - called Lie algebroids in the present case - thus giving rise to functors from an algebraic to a differential category. The local results are analogous to those for Lie groups, their proofs just requiring a little more patience and effort. Quite to the contrary, the global results are sensibly more sophisticated and certainly more difficult to obtain.

The importance of Lie groupoids relies more in their usefulness as a technique to study geometrical problems than as mathematical objects

per se. Moreover, they constitute at present the most appropriate setting for the development of certain geometric theories *e.g.*, infinitesimal connexions and Lie pseudo-groups. They find inasmuch their place in the local and global equivalence problem for geometric structures ([41],[42]) and, most important, in the study of differential systems and partial differential equations. We finally observe that the study of G-structures can be considered as a special case of the study of transitive Lie groupoids and, in the bibliography, we mention several articles that apply Lie groupoids in various differential geometric situations.

Most of the definitions and concepts adopted here are standard and can be found in [46] and [34] where, in the second reference, we shall replace the term *group* by that of *groupoid*. It should however be observed that the definition of a *differentiable groupoid* given in [46] relies on a slightly weaker regularity hypothesis than the original definition given by Ehresmann in, for instance, [22]. The former just requires the transversality of the product map $source \times target$ with respect to the diagonal in the base space whereas the later requires the submersivity of any of the above two maps (the same holding, consequently, for the other). The difference being so insignificant, the reader should not bother about it. In the present paper, we limit ourselves to discuss, in what concerns the basic definitions and properties related to Lie groupoids and algebroids, just the sub-structures and quotients since much can be found in the appendix of the first reference, in [39] as well as in [42] and finally in [30]. As for the terminology, we do not have any privileged choices and find that the best option results in looking at the not always too explicit origins. We finally remark that all the spaces and structures considered here are *small* i.e., defined on underlying sets and never on *classes* as considered, in a much broader setting, by Ch. Ehresmann ([20]) .

2. Sub-groupoids and quotients

In this section we discuss very standard facts though some additional care must be taken in the case of groupoids. We further point out that the subsequent argumentation has already been carried out, to a certain extent and many years ago, by *R. Almeida*, in his doctoral dissertation ([1]). A Lie sub-groupoid of Γ is, of course, a subset Σ stable under the product operation and under inverses. Moreover, it is assumed that Σ is a (not necessarily regularly embedded) sub-manifold of Γ and that its units space Σ_0 is a sub-manifold of Σ. A *morphism* $\varphi : \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma_1$ is a mapping that preserves the composition hence also

preserves the inverses since forcibly it must preserve the units. Curiously enough, the image of a morphism is not always a Lie sub-groupoid of the image. On the one hand, the images of two non-composable elements can become composable and one cannot claim anything about their composite. Inasmuch, the image needs not be a sub-manifold. *A priori*, the units space of a sub-groupoid needs not coincide with that of the ambient groupoid and we shall therefore assume right from the beginning the following

Hypotheses:

1. The units space of a sub-groupoid coincides with the ambient units space.

2. Any morphism becomes a diffeomorphism upon restriction to the units.

Under these conditions, the image of a morphism becomes of course a sub-groupoid. Let Γ be a given Lie groupoid and let us take a Lie sub-groupoid Σ. Then clearly, every $\alpha-$fibre of Σ is contained in the corresponding $\alpha-$fibre of Γ. Next, for any given unit e, we denote by $\Gamma_{\alpha e}$ (resp. $\Sigma_{\alpha e}$) and Γ_e (resp. Σ_e) the $\alpha-$fibres and the isotropy groups at this unit element. The union of all the isotropy groups needs not be a differentiable sub-manifold of Γ. However, these isotropies will become a locally trivial differentiable sub-bundle of, say, the $\alpha-$fibres or the $\beta-$fibres bundle, if and only if the fibre product mapping

$$\alpha \vee \beta : g \in \Gamma \longmapsto (\alpha(g), \beta(g)) \in \Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_0$$

is transverse to the diagonal in $\Gamma_0 \times \Gamma_0$, where Γ_0 is the units space of Γ and where by "transverse" we mean that the sum of the tangent space to the diagonal with the image of the tangent space to Γ by the map $(\alpha \vee \beta)_*$ is equal to the tangent space of the product. We shall say that Σ is *normal* or *invariant* in Γ whenever its isotropy bundle is *normal* in Γ. A little explanation seems to be required. What we are seeking for is the usual condition of normality namely, $\gamma \cdot \Sigma \cdot \gamma^{-1} \subset \Sigma$ for an arbitrary element $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since $\alpha(\gamma) = \beta(\gamma^{-1})$ and $\beta(\gamma) = \alpha(\gamma^{-1})$, we infer that the previous condition is only applicable to isotropic elements of Σ and, moreover, that the resulting subset $\gamma \cdot \Sigma_e \cdot \gamma^{-1},\ e = \alpha(\gamma)$, is the isotropy $\Sigma_{e'}$ of Σ at the point $e' = \beta(\gamma)$. The above normality requirement means consequently that each subgroup Σ_e is normal in Γ_e and, furthermore, that the isotropy sub-bundle of Σ is preserved by the (adjoint) action of arbitrary elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$. By invertibility, the previous inclusion becomes in fact an equality.

We are now all set to define the quotient Γ/Σ in the category of Lie groupoids. However, instead of defining the equivalence relation that will factor Γ and ultimately produce the quotient, we shall first introduce the equivalence classes. Of course, we can consider either the *right* classes $\Sigma\cdot\gamma = \Sigma_{\beta(\gamma)}\cdot\gamma$ or the *left* classes $\gamma\cdot\Sigma = \gamma\cdot\Sigma_{\alpha(\gamma)}$. On account of the inversion $\gamma \longmapsto \gamma^{-1}$, both procedures are equivalent and, on account of normality, both procedures will turn out to be equal. Since every $\alpha-$fibre of Σ is contained in the corresponding $\alpha-$fibre of Γ, we would like that each $\alpha-$fibre $\Sigma_{\alpha e}$ be an equivalence class that factors onto (identify with) the corresponding unit in the *eventual* quotient groupoid. However, this cannot be so since the elements belonging to an $\alpha-$fibre can have many targets. The same problem appears with respect to the $\beta-$fibres. We shall therefore have to argue with the isotropy groups.

Let us, at present, just consider the right classes (right co-sets) and, for any element $\gamma\in\Gamma$, we set $\Sigma_e\cdot\gamma$, where $e=\beta(\gamma)$ ($\Sigma_e = \Sigma_e\cdot e$). We first show that two such classes either coincide or are disjoint. In fact, assuming that Z belongs to both $\Sigma_e\cdot Y$ ($e=\beta(Y)$) and $\Sigma_{e'}\cdot Y'$, then $Z = X\cdot Y = X'\cdot Y'$, $\alpha(Z)=\alpha(Y)=\alpha(Y')$, $\Sigma_e\cdot Y\cdot(Y')^{-1} = \Sigma_{e'}$ hence ultimately $\Sigma_e\cdot Y = \Sigma_{e'}\cdot Y'$. Next, we define the *equivalence relation* on Γ whose equivalence classes are precisely the above co-sets. If $Z,\ Z'$ both belong to the co-set $\Sigma_{\mathbf{e}}\cdot Y$, then $Z = X\cdot Y$ and $Z' = X'\cdot Y$ with $X,\ X'\in\Sigma_{\mathbf{e}}$ whereupon $Z'\cdot Z^{-1} = X'\cdot X^{-1}\in\Sigma_e$. We infer that $Z\sim Z'$ if and only if $Z'\cdot Z^{-1}\in\Sigma$, as in group theory.

We next define the groupoid structure on the quotient Γ/Σ, composed by the above co-sets, and assume that Σ is topologically closed in Γ otherwise the quotient topology will be inadequate to underlie a differentiable manifold structure. It follows thereafter that the Lie sub-group Σ_e is also closed in Γ_e. Setting $\alpha(\Sigma_e\cdot\gamma)=\alpha(\gamma)$, $\beta(\Sigma_e\cdot\gamma)=e$ and taking any two composable co-sets $\Sigma_e\cdot\gamma$ and $\Sigma_\epsilon\cdot\delta$, $e=\beta(\gamma),\ \epsilon=\beta(\delta),\ \alpha(\gamma)=\beta(\delta)$, we define the product by

$$(\Sigma_e\cdot\gamma)\cdot(\Sigma_\epsilon\cdot\delta) = \Sigma_e\cdot\gamma\cdot\delta. \tag{1}$$

We now proceed to show that this operation does not depend upon the representatives. Assuming that $\Sigma_e\cdot\gamma = \Sigma_{e'}\cdot\gamma'$, then $\gamma' = X\cdot\gamma$, with $X\in\Sigma_e$, and consequently $\Sigma_{e'}\cdot\gamma' = \Sigma_e\cdot\gamma'$ hence,

$$(\Sigma_e\cdot\gamma')\cdot(\Sigma_\epsilon\cdot\delta) = \Sigma_e\cdot\gamma'\cdot\delta = \Sigma_e\cdot X\cdot\gamma\cdot\delta = \Sigma_e\cdot\gamma\cdot\delta$$

since $\Sigma_e\cdot X = \Sigma_e$. It should be observed that this first step does not require the normality condition on Σ. Let us next assume that

$\Sigma_\epsilon \cdot \delta = \Sigma_{\epsilon'} \cdot \delta'$. Then $\delta' = X \cdot \delta,\ X \in \Sigma_\epsilon$ and consequently

$$(\Sigma_e \cdot \gamma) \cdot (\Sigma_{\epsilon'} \cdot \delta') = \Sigma_e \cdot \gamma \cdot \delta' = \Sigma_e \cdot \gamma \cdot X \cdot \delta = \Sigma_e \cdot \gamma \cdot X \cdot \gamma^{-1} \cdot \gamma \cdot \delta = \Sigma_e \cdot Y \cdot \gamma \cdot \delta,$$

where $Y = \gamma \cdot X \cdot \gamma^{-1} \in \Sigma_e$, hence the above product is equal to $\Sigma_e \cdot \gamma \cdot \delta$ since $\Sigma_e \cdot Y = \Sigma_e$. Needless to say that the inverse of $\Sigma_e \cdot \gamma$ is equal to $\Sigma_\epsilon \cdot \gamma^{-1}$ where $\epsilon = \alpha(\gamma)$.

The fact that $\beta \vee \alpha$ is transverse to the diagonal in $M \times M$ guarantees that the quotient Γ/Σ has a differentiable manifold structure compatible with its groupoid structure. Moreover, it can be shown that the differentiable structure of the sub-groupoid Σ is regularly embedded in Γ inasmuch as what is true for Lie groups. We shall however skip the details and only remark that the above definition of the quotient does not agree with that outlined in [70] and described, more detailed, in [65] and [71], though both are closely related since, apparently, one cannot escape from operating with isotropies. The advantage of our approach relies on the simplicity in expressing the equivalence classes of given elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$, identical to that found in group theory, as well as in attributing coordinates to the quotient spaces that arise from coordinates defined in the initially given groupoid. However, the main reason for not adopting here the definition found in the above references lies in the fact that such a definition, for the quotient groupoids, enters in conflict with the standard prolongation procedure applied to object defined on finite order jet spaces like, for instance, differential systems and higher order jet groupoids.

As for the infinitesimal aspects of the above discussion, there is not much more to be added. It suffices to define the isotropy sub-algebra of $\mathcal{L}$ as being the sub-sheaf composed by those germs whose representatives vanish at the unit element involved. We shall say that $\mathcal{S}$ is a sub-algebroid in ideals of $\mathcal{L}$ when each stalk of the isotropy of $\mathcal{S}$ is an ideal in the corresponding stalk of $\mathcal{L}$ at that point. Integrating this condition for each germ of vector field belonging to $\mathcal{L}$, we infer that $\mathcal{S}$ is invariant under the local actions associated to arbitrary sections of $\mathcal{L}$. The process of defining now a quotient Lie algebroid becomes obvious. It is also self-evident that each isotropy algebra is the Lie algebra of the corresponding isotropy group and that the quotient algebroid is the Lie algebroid associated to the quotient Lie groupoid whenever such an association exists.

3. Pfaffian systems in five variables and the Monge problem

In this section we recall the main topics discussed by Cartan in his article [10] and the first step consists in examining a little closer the Darboux system $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_3$ on the space $\mathbf{R}^3$. It is the system of rank one generated by the form $\omega = dx^3 + x^2\ dx^1$ of Darboux class three. The Cartan class of the system is also maximum and equal to three hence its space of characteristics is equal to $\mathbf{R}^3$ *i.e.*, its characteristic system is equal to $T^*\mathbf{R}^3$ (generated by $\{dx^1, dx^2, dx^3\}$) which means that the system $\mathcal{D}$ cannot be factored locally to a space of lower dimension. Moreover, the first derived system $\mathcal{D}_1$ is null, a property that characterizes $\mathcal{D}$. The Darboux system is, up to (local) equivalence, the only system of rank one and of maximum class three on the space $\mathbf{R}^3$. Its infinitesimal automorphisms are defined by

$$Aut(\mathcal{D}) = \{\xi \in \chi(\mathbf{R}^3) : \vartheta(\xi)\omega \equiv 0\ mod\ \omega\}, \tag{2}$$

where $\vartheta(\xi)$ denotes the Lie derivative by the vector field ξ and $\chi(\mathbf{R}^3)$ the Lie algebra of all the vector fields on $\mathbf{R}^3$. There is a well known Hamiltonian characterization of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$ ([40]) by means of which the Lie bracket of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$ identifies with the Lagrange bracket on $\mathcal{F}$, the ring of C^∞ functions on $\mathbf{R}^3$, defined by $[f, g] = \xi g - g\zeta f$, where $\zeta = H^{-1}(1)$ and H is a chosen contact Hamiltonian (up to a multiplicative factor, *cf.* [40]). Since the function f is arbitrary, we infer the

Lemma 1. *The algebra $Aut(\mathcal{D})$ is simple and operates transitively on $\boldsymbol{R}^3$.*

We shall now examine the Pfaffian systems on $\mathbf{R}^5$ as discussed in [10] and, many years later, in [35], Sect.11 and [37]. A Pfaffian system is said to be totally regular when all its derived systems are regular. The definitions of the *class* of a system, of the *derived* system and of the *covariant* system associated to a given system can be found in the last reference and are, of course, borrowed from Élie Cartan. In what follows, we shall only be interested in the systems of rank two and three. Nevertheless, let us just mention that the systems of rank equal to four are all integrable and have the model $\{dx^1, dx^2, dx^3, dx^4\}$ in the standard coordinates. As for the systems of rank equal to one, they are either integrable and have the model $\{dx^1\}$, or else are the Darboux systems $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}$ (a system of *rank* 1 and *class* $3 = 2 \times 1 + 1$ defined on a 5-space) and $\mathcal{D}_2$ (a system of *rank* 1 and *class* $5 = 2 \times 2 + 1$ defined on a 5-space), the latter admitting the model $dx^5 + x^4\ dx^3 + x^2\ dx^1$ (the Cartan class of a rank 1 system is always odd).

The determination of all the Pfaffian systems of ranks two and three is based on the following results ([23],[35]).

Proposition 1. *Let S be a Pfaffian system of co-rank equal to 2 whose derived system is regular. Then, either S is integrable and its class is equal to the co-dimension of its leaves or else its class is equal to the dimension of M.*

Proposition 2. *On a manifold of dimension 5, to each Pfaffian system S of rank 2 with null derived system $S_1 = 0$ corresponds, in a unique way, a Pfaffian system $\overline{S}$ of rank 3, the covariant system, determined by the condition $S \subset \overline{S}_1$. Furthermore, when $\overline{S}_1$ is regular, then either $\overline{S}_1$ is integrable or else $\overline{S}_1 = S$.*

Remark. The condition $S \subset \overline{S}_1$ implies that $\overline{S}_1$ is integrable if and only if $\overline{S}$ also is, in which case $\overline{S} = \overline{S}_1$.

Proposition 3. *On a manifold of dimension 5, the correspondence that to each totally regular Pfaffian system S, of rank 3 and verifying $S_2 = 0$, associates S_1 is bijective.*

Remark. Following Cartan, we shall, in the above situation, also call S_1 the *covariant* system of S.

On account of the second proposition, we can say that the Pfaffian systems of rank 2 in a five dimensional space are firstly the integrable systems with the model $\{dx^1, dx^2\}$, when $S = S_1$, secondly those for which S_1 is of rank one and finally the case where $S_1 = 0$. The second mentioned systems are, when $S_2 = 0$ the flag systems of length two and are all equivalent to (the pullback of) the Engel flag in four space admitting the model $\{dx^2 + x^3dx^1, dx^3 + x^4dx^1\}$. When $S_1 = S_2$ *i.e.*, when S_1 is integrable, the system admits the model $\{dx^1, dx^2 + x^3dx^4\}$. In the third case, if $\overline{S}_1$ is integrable *i.e.*, $\overline{S}_2 = \overline{S}_1$, then S can be brought to the local form $\{dx^1 + x^4dx^3, dx^2 + x^5dx^3\}$ and, in the non-integrable case *i.e.*, when $\overline{S}_1 = S$, the system S can be brought to the normal form $\{dx^1 + (x^3 + x^4x^5)dx^4, dx^2 + x^3dx^5\}$ when the Cartan quartic form (*forme biquadratique binaire*, [10], p.152, *l.*-6) vanishes. The remaining cases can also be examined by making appeal to the Cartan *quartic form* though in these cases we shall find continuous moduli of rank 2 Pfaffian systems that we can describe via their *pseudo-normal forms* ([35],[40]) that will carry parameters (the constants that appear in the pseudo-normal forms). The discussion being rather extensive, we shall

limit ourselves to mention just a few results but, firstly, we examine those Pfaffian systems of rank 3 that have discrete models.

Let us therefore consider the Pfaffian systems S of rank 3 defined on 5-dimensional space. There are always the integrable systems with $S = S_1$ admitting the model $\{dx^1, dx^2, dx^3\}$, then those systems for which $S_1 = S_2$ *i.e.*, when S_1 is integrable, admitting the models $\{dx^1, dx^2, dx^3 + x^4dx^5\}$ that has Cartan class equal to 5 and $\{dx^1, dx^3, dx^2 + x^3dx^4\}$ that has class 4, then those systems for which $S_2 = S_3$ *i.e.*, when S_2 is integrable, that admit the models $\{dx^1, dx^2 + x^3dx^5, dx^3 + x^4dx^5\}$ that has class 5 and $\{dx^1, dx^2 + x^3dx^4, dx^3 + x^4dx^4\}$ that has class 4. Next the Cartan flags, when $rank\ S_1 = 2$, $rank\ S_2 = 1$ and $S_3 = 0$, that are all equivalent either to the homogeneous or the inhomogeneous model namely, the models $\{dx^2 + x^3dx^1, dx^3 + x^4dx^1, dx^4 + x^5dx^1\}$ and $\{dx^2 + x^3dx^1, dx^3 + x^4dx^1, dx^1 + x^5dx^4\}$, and those systems for which $rank\ S_1 = 2$ and $rank\ S_2 = 0$ *i.e.*, those for which $S_2 = 0$, that admit the discrete model $\{dx^1 + (x^3 + x^4x^5)dx^4, dx^2 + x^3dx^5, dx^3 + x^4dx^5\}$. Finally there is the case $S_1 = 0$ where the model of class 5 is $\{dx^2, dx^4, dx^5 + x^4dx^3 + x^2dx^1\}$ and that of class 4 is $\{dx^1, dx^3, dx^2 + x^3dx^4\}$. Apart from these, there are the systems for which $S_2 = 0$, where $rank\ S_1$ can be either 2 or 1 and that belong to continuous moduli. These shall be examined below.

We firstly examine the systems S of rank 3 for which $S_2 = 0$ and $rank\ S_1 = 2$. These always admit representatives $\{\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3\}$ that satisfy the following structure equations:

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega^1 &\equiv \omega^3 \wedge \omega^4 \quad mod(\omega^1, \omega^2), \\ d\omega^2 &\equiv \omega^3 \wedge \omega^5 \quad mod(\omega^1, \omega^2), \\ d\omega^3 &\equiv \omega^4 \wedge \omega^5 \quad mod(\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where the forms $\{\omega^4, \omega^5\}$, chosen adequately, complete the previous forms to a local basis in 5-space. We observe that the first two forms generate the covariant (or first derived) system S_1 associated to S. To determine those systems that are locally equivalent, one procedure is to determine the differential invariants of the pseudo-group that realizes such equivalences and, according to Cartan, the first step is to exhibit the pseudo-group of all the local or infinitesimal automorphisms of such systems. Ultimately, the author puts forward a fundamental set of 27 higher order differential invariants that establishes the criterion for the equivalence. An outstanding situation corresponds to the vanishing of the Cartan quartic form. In this case, Cartan proves the

Theorem 1. *On a manifold of dimension 5, all the systems S of rank three with vanishing Cartan quartic form are locally equivalent. The restriction, to a neighborhoods of the origin, of the infinitesimal automorphisms of such a system (or, equivalently, the set of all germs at the origin of the infinitesimal automorphisms) is a simple algebra of dimension 14 isomorphic to the exceptional complex simple Lie algebra g_2 with real form $g_{2(2)}$.*

The argumentation is extremely simple and entirely based on what Cartan calls *the fundamental identity* namely, $d^2 = 0$. Quite to the contrary, the calculations are extremely long to the point that the author himself does not even exhibit a single line of them and just mentions the conclusions. We should also point out that Cartan does not try to determine directly the infinitesimal transformations that carry one system into an equivalent one but examines initially the linear group, with variable (function type) entries (a matrix group), that transforms, via linear combinations, a given system represented by the forms $\{\omega^i\}$ into another system $\{\overline{\omega}^i\}$, both satisfying the structure equations (4). This last group has 7 parameters (dimension 7).

There are other situations to be considered namely those when the Cartan quartic form does not vanish identically. Nevertheless, we shall not enter here into the details since the context becomes rather complicated and somewhat inconclusive.

Let us now take a look at what Cartan does with the systems of two second order partial differential equations in one unknown function z of two independent variables x,y namely, a system of the form

$$r = \mathbf{R}(x, y, z, p, q, t), \qquad s = \mathbf{S}(x, y, z, p, q, t), \tag{4}$$

where s is the second order mixed derivative. This is the choice made by Cartan but we could as well interchange r with t. However Cartan's argument will break down if we interchange s with t. Such systems are also called second order Monge equations. In our present days tedious formalism, this can be rephrased as follows ([46]).

We start by taking the fibration $\pi : \mathbf{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$, $(x, y, z) \longmapsto (x, y)$ and consider a locally trivial sub-bundle $\mathcal{E}_2 \subset J_2\pi$, where the latter is the bundle of 2-jets of local sections of π. The *symbol* of the equation $\mathcal{E}_2$ is the kernel of the restricted projection $\rho_{1,2} : \mathcal{E}_2 \longrightarrow J_1\pi$ (*loc.cit.*). An equation is said to be *involutive* when its symbol is involutive *i.e.*, for any positive integer k, the symbol of the $(k+1)-$st prolongation of the equation is the *derived* space of the symbol of the $k-$th prolongation

and if furthermore the initial symbol is 2-acyclic. An equation is said to be linear when its ambient space is a vector sub-bundle of a jet space relative to an initially given vector bundle. The Pfaffian system associated to a $k-$th order differential equation is the restriction of the $k-$th order contact Pfaffian system, defined on the space of $k-$jets, to the sub-manifold representing the ambient space of the equation. It is a Pfaffian system generated by the restrictions of the $k-$th order contact forms. In order to reproduce the system (3) considered by Cartan, it suffices to take the above considered sub-bundle $\mathcal{E}_2$ and require that the following two conditions be satisfied:

(a) The restricted projection $\rho_{1,2} : \mathcal{E}_2 \longrightarrow J_1\pi$ is a submersion with a 1-dimensional fibre and

(b) The differential dt never vanishes in restriction to the above 1-dimensional fibres.

We next write down the contact Pfaffian system associated to the equation $\mathcal{E}_2$ namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^1 &= dz - pdx - qdy, \\ \omega^2 &= dp - \mathbf{R}dx - \mathbf{S}dy, \\ \omega^3 &= dq - \mathbf{S}dx - tdy. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We are faced[1] with a rank 3 Pfaffian system on a six dimensional manifold $\mathcal{E}_2$. Let us now look for conditions under which the above Pfaffian system can be *reduced* to a five dimensional manifold, the purpose being two-fold. Not only Cartan wants to take advantage of the knowledge of his rank 3 models on 5-spaces but, most important, this is the basic key of all the argumentation. In other terms, he wants to find conditions under which the differentials $d\omega^1$, $d\omega^2$ and $d\omega^3$ can be expressed in terms of ω^1, ω^2, ω^3 and two additional independent Pfaffian forms ω^4, ω^5 that can be written as linear combinations of the forms dx, dy, dp, dq and dt. A simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &d\omega^1 \equiv 0 \quad mod(\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3), \\ &d\omega^2 \equiv dx \wedge d\mathbf{R} + dy \wedge d\mathbf{S} \equiv dx \wedge (\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial t}dt + \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{dt}dy) + dy \wedge (\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial t}dt + \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dx}dx), \\ &d\omega^3 \equiv dx \wedge d\mathbf{S} + dy \wedge dt \equiv dx \wedge (\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial t}dt + \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dy}dy) + dy \wedge dt, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

[1]The reader should observe the misprint on pg.122, *l*.6, where, in the expression of ω_1, the term ydy should read qdy.

where $\frac{df}{dx}$ and $\frac{df}{dy}$ denote *total derivatives* in jet spaces (loc.cit.). Furthermore, we can simplify the expressions (6) to

$$d\omega^1 \equiv 0,$$

(7)
$$d\omega^2 \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial t} dx \wedge dt + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial t} dy \wedge dt + (\frac{d\mathbf{R}}{dy} - \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dx}) dx \wedge dy \quad mod(\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3),$$
$$d\omega^3 \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial t} dx \wedge dt + dy \wedge dt + \frac{d\mathbf{S}}{dy} dx \wedge dy$$

In order that the differentials of the generators ω^i of the contact Pfaffian system associated to the initial equations be expressible under the form (3), where the two forms ω^4 and ω^5 are linear combinations of $\{dx, dy, dt\}$, it is necessary and sufficient that the appropriate proportionality relations hold between the coefficients of the second members in (7) (*cf.*, [10], pg.123, formulas (4)) and we find precisely the conditions under which the system (4) is involutive. We can now set:

$$\omega^4 = dy + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial t} dx,$$
$$\omega^5 = dt + \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial y} dx,$$

and obtain the congruences

$$d\omega^1 \equiv 0,$$

(8)
$$d\omega^2 \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial t} \omega^4 \wedge \omega^5 \quad mod(\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3),$$
$$d\omega^3 \equiv \omega^4 \wedge \omega^5,$$

the characteristic system of (4) being generated by $\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3, \omega^4, \omega^5$, hence the system (3) (or the equation $\mathcal{E}_2$) as well as the associated contact Pfaffian system S both factor to a space in five variables (the space of the characteristic variables). Two cases are to be distinguished:

(a) The second order partial derivative $\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{S}}{\partial t^2}$ vanishes identically.

In this case, we find the local (discrete) models for the second order contact Pfaffian system S to be $\{dx^1, dx^2 + x^3 dx^4, dx^3 + x^5 dx^4\}$ when S_2 is integrable and the homogeneous flag system $\{dx^1 + x^2 dx^4, dx^2 + x^3 dx^4, dx^3 + x^5 dx^4\}$ otherwise. These two cases present themselves when the initially given system (2) is linear *i.e.*, the two equations are linear functions of their arguments:

(9)
$$s = \alpha t + \beta, \qquad r = \alpha s + \gamma,$$

where α, β, γ are functions of the independent arguments x, y, z, p, q.

(b) The second order partial derivative $\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{S}}{\partial t^2}$ does not vanishes identically.

In this case, the system of two second order involutive partial differential equations falls into the generic context where the congruences relative to the associated contact Pfaffian system are equal to (3). No local discrete models are available since continuous moduli parametrize such systems.

We finally consider second order single partial differential equations given by

$$F(x, y, z, p, q, r, s, t) = 0 \tag{10}$$

and assume that both partial derivatives $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$, with respect to the independent variables, do not vanish. This situation can be transcribed in jet spaces by a locally trivial sub-bundle of $J_2\pi$ for which the restriction of $\rho_{1,2}$ is a submersion with a $2-$dimensional fibre. The discussion here is rather extensive but the main conclusions are the following. The associated second order contact Pfaffian system is of rank 2 and factors to a Pfaffian system in 5-space when the double Monge characteristics of the equation are integrable. In this situation, we can single out the well known Monge-Ampère equation ([24, 25, 26])

$$(r + A)(t + C) - (s + B)^2 = 0.$$

The associated Pfaffian system is a flag system of rank and length two, hence an Engel flag, its *covariant* system, of rank three, is therefore integrable ([38, 44]) and its pseudo-group of local automorphisms is the natural prolongation of $Aut(\mathcal{D})$. Cartan shows that all the equations with such an associated Pfaffian system are locally equivalent, the above Monge-Ampère equation being the standard model, and that the algebra of all infinitesimal second order contact automorphisms of these equations is (locally) isomorphic to the algebra of all the vector fields on $\mathbf{R}^3$. Another noteworthy example is given by the second order Goursat equations ([25, 38]) whose algebra of all infinitesimal second order contact automorphisms is isomorphic to the exceptional complex simple Lie algebra g_2 with real form $g_{2(2)}$. Cartan finally shows that the Goursat systems are equivalent to the previously discussed second order involutive systems of two equations. As for the first order Monge problem, we refer the reader to [47].

One last word is due. In all the above discussion, we never mentioned neither Jordan nor Hölder and the reason is the following. Firstly and concerning the above discussed differential equations, the only possible Jordan-Hölder resolutions are the shortest ones namely, those consisting of the initially given defining groupoid of the pseudo-group and a maximal normal sub-groupoid. However these do not appear explicitly for the following reason. Cartan is forced to make extensive calculations, which unfortunately he never published, and was convinced that the best place to achieve these calculations was, in fact, the initially given space of all the variables and not in some quotient space. Consequently, he produced, with the help of adequately chosen additional linear forms ϖ, *clones* of the quotient objects and, in particular, he produced a projectable clone pseudo-group, defined on the whole space, that projects isomorphically onto the pseudo-group defined in the quotient (Sections IX-XIII, see in particular p.171, *l.*12-14, p.180, *l.*10-14, p.185, *l.*1-5, p.181, *l.*9-14).

4. On the integration of some differential systems transitively invariant under simple groupoids

Perhaps the simplest (though not *simple*) example already considered by Lie concerns, using a slightly different terminology, those Pfaffian systems of rank 1 that are transitively invariant under a *solvable* Lie group, *integrable* in the terminology of Lie and Cartan ([54],[62],[31]). In this case, the system $\mathcal{S}$ is integrable (its class being equal to 1), the integration being achieved by successive quadratures since the corresponding automorphic systems are invariant under 1-dimensional abelian Lie groups (*cf.* also [14]).

Let us next examine the integration of a differential system invariant under the action of a first order *unimodular* groupoid ([55],[59],[16],[29]). We consider a manifold M together with a volume form Ω, two arbitrary unimodular vector fields ξ and ζ (*i.e.*, that preserve Ω) and inquire, together with Lie, on the set of all local transformations φ that send ζ into ξ. To avoid at present unnecessary complications, we shall assume that these vector fields never vanish. Such transformations are the local solutions of the first order differential system

$$\mathcal{S} = \{X \in \Pi_1 M \ : \ X_* \zeta_{\alpha(X)} = \xi_{\beta(X)}, \ X^* \Omega = \Omega\}$$

that is invariant under the *left* action (on the target) of the Lie pseudo-group Δ of all local unimodular transformations preserving ξ, this action being transitive. Equivalently, the equation is invariant under the first order groupoid $J_1\Delta$ equal to the set of all invertible $1-$jets X such

that $X \cdot Y \in J_1\Omega$ for every element $Y \in J_1\Omega$. Next, we denote by Φ the foliation defined by the integral curves of ξ and by $N = M/\Phi$ the corresponding quotient space. We shall not worry whether this quotient has a compatible differentiable structure since we can always argue locally and we set $p : M \longrightarrow N$ for the associated quotient projection. Writing $\Pi_1(M, N)$ for the set of all $1-$jets of local surmersions, the projection p prolongs to a projection $p_1 : \Pi_1 M \longrightarrow \Pi_1(M, N)$ and we define the differential system, of order 1, $\mathcal{S}_1 = p_1(\mathcal{S})$. Denoting by Δ_0 the sub-pseudogroup of Δ composed by those transformations that preserve each leaf, we obtain a normal sub-pseudogroup and Δ factors to a quotient pseudogroup Δ_1 that can be considered as the quotient $\Delta_1 = \Delta/\Delta_0$.

We now consider the $(n-1)-$form, $n = dim\, M$, $\tilde{\omega} = i(\xi)\Omega$ and, since $i(\xi)\tilde{\omega} = 0$, this $(n-1)-$form factors to a volume form ω defined on the quotient manifold N, it being clear that $Aut(\omega) = \Delta_1$. The differential system $\mathcal{S}_1$ is then transitively invariant under the action of the unimodular pseudo-group Δ_1 and consequently can be integrated via the Jacobi last multiplier method. Choosing arbitrarily $n-2$ independent functions, the remaining one is obtained by a quadrature.

To proceed with the integration of $\mathcal{S}$, we continue as follows. For any local solution σ of $\mathcal{S}_1$, we consider the inverse image of $im\, \sigma$ in $\mathcal{S}$, with respect to the projection p_1, denote it by $\mathcal{S}_\sigma$ and thus obtain a differential system invariant under the transitive action of Δ_0. The integration of this system is quite simple and elementary. In fact, it suffices to determine a function f such that $\zeta\, f = 1$, which only requires a quadrature along the integral curves of ζ. As for the Jordan-Hölder resolution involved, we are just considering the two terms series $\Delta \supset \Delta_0$. The system $\mathcal{S}_1$ is called, by Lie and Cartan, the *automorphic* system associated to $\mathcal{S}$ and to the given Jordan-Hölder resolution. The system $\mathcal{S}_\sigma$ is called the *resolvent* system associated to the solution σ.

Another transitive simple pseudo-group is the *symplectic* pseudo-group consisting of all the local transformations that leave invariant an exterior differential $2-$form of maximal rank (equal to $dim\, M$). We shall not dwell here into the symplectic domain and refer the reader to [55] and [59]. In the subsequent sections, we discuss the whole subject with much more care, precision and generality. The aim of the present section was simply motivational.

5. The Jordan-Hölder resolutions and the integration of partial differential equations

In this section we simply transcribe, in the context of Lie groupoids, some of the concepts that can be found in [34] and [38] for Lie groups.

A Lie algebroid is said to be *simple* when its only sub-sheave in ideals is the trivial one. As is costumary, an algebroid reduced only to its null elements *is not* considered to be simple. A Lie algebroid which is a direct sum of simple and non-abelian (at the isotropy level) sub-algebroids is called *semi-simple*. In the case that abelian factors are present, the algebroid is called *reductive*. A *Jordan-Hölder resolution or series*, for a Lie algebroid $\mathcal{S}$, is a composition series *i.e.*, a descending chain of Lie sub-algebroids, each term being an ideal in the preceding one, and for which the successive quotients are simple. Jordan-Hölder resolutions are precisely those composition series that cannot be properly *refined* ([34]). We could also define *principal resolutions* (all the terms are ideals in the initially given algebroid) but shall not do so since it is rather exceptional to find differential systems that place in evidence such resolutions. It should however be remarked that any Jordan-Hölder resolution is always a finite chain and that any composition series can always be refined to a Jordan-Hölder series, omitting of course the repeated terms. Moreover, any two Jordan-Hölder series have the same length and are equivalent.

A Lie groupoid is said to be *simple* when the only normal sub-groupoids are the trivial ones. A groupoid reduced to its unit elements *is not* considered to be simple. A Lie groupoid that is a direct product of simple and non-abelian (at the isotropy level) sub-groupoids is called *semi-simple*. Otherwise, it is called *reductive*. A *Jordan-Hölder resolution or series* for a Lie groupoid Γ is a composition series *i.e.*, a descending chain of (topologically) closed Lie sub-groupoids, each term being normal in the preceding one, and for which the successive quotients are simple. The present definition does not agree with that given in [34] for Lie groups where we could establish a one-to-one correspondence between finite and infinitesimal Jordan-Hölder resolutions as soon as we assumed that the sub-groups were connected. In the present context we could as well give a similar definition by considering, for example, a *weak* Jordan-Hölder resolutions as being a composition series that cannot be properly *refined* ([34]). Nevertheless, it is safer not to fondle within the devil's workshop since Lie's second fundamental theorem does not hold, in general, for groupoids and algebroids ([2]). In fact, we cannot establish a one-to-one correspondence between the finite and

the infinitesimal resolutions even if we were to adopt the *weak* context. Inasmuch, we shall not discuss *principal resolutions* for Lie groupoids. It should however be observed that any Jordan-Hölder resolution is always a finite chain and that any composition series can always be refined to a Jordan-Hölder series, omitting of course the repeated terms. Moreover, any two Jordan-Hölder series have the same length and are locally equivalent in a neighborhood of the units.

There do not exist general methods or techniques for constructing Jordan-Hölder resolutions but only specific particular procedures that can be devised for certain types of groupoids. The same situation is already present in Lie groups theory and, in this section, we shall try to extend to the present context some of the results and techniques that are described in [34]. For that matter, we assume from now on that all the manifolds considered, including the systems of partial differential equations envisaged as sub-manifolds contained in some $k-th$ order jet space, are *connected* and *second countable* and we first mention the following almost self evident statement

Proposition 4. *Let Γ_e be the isotropy group of the Lie groupoid Γ at a fixed unit element e. Then, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the normal sub-groups of Γ_e and the isotropy bundles of normal Lie sub-groupoids Σ of Γ.*

A similar statement holds for Lie algebroids. We next observe that there are many possibilities for the normal sub-groupoids (resp. normal algebroids) that admit the above mentioned isotropy bundles. Nevertheless, we can make a privileged choice that shows to be the appropriate one for Σ and consists in adding to the isotropy sub-bundle Σ_M the set of all the elements that belong to Γ and whose sources and targets are distinct. We shall call these sub-groupoids *saturated*.

Proposition 5. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the Jordan-Hölder series in Γ_e and those in Γ with successively saturated normal sub-goupoids i.e., where at each step, Σ_{i+1} is a saturated, normal and closed sub-groupoid of Σ_i.*

Observe that the normality and the closedness of the sub-groupoids can be read by the corresponding properties of the sub-groups belonging to the isotropy.

Much can be said about these resolutions but, since we shall be concerned with partial differential equations, we content ourselves by only

inquiring in this subject for the Lie groupoids that are sub-groupoids of a jet space $\Pi_k M$, one of the first challenges being the determination of those couples of sub-groupoids, one of them being normal and closed in the other, for which the quotients are simple. Similarly, we have a parallel infinitesimal theory for Lie algebroids that represents a first step towards the finite theory and, in this case, we also have at our disposal an additional bonus namely, a linear structure. The above mentioned challenge seems a very hard one but, surprisingly enough, it is not so since it has already been essentially solved by Élie Cartan more than a hundred years ago. Let us say a few words about this. By definition, a Lie pseudo-group Γ of order k (*groupe de transformations fini et continu*, in the sense of Cartan) is the set of all the local transformation, operating on a manifold M, that satisfy a $k-th$ order differential system contained in $\Pi_k M$. We further require that the elements of Γ verify the "group conditions" where the operation is the usual composition of transformations or, eventually, of their restrictions. When this is the case, the defining differential system is a sub-groupoid of $\Pi_k M$ and we usually require that it also be Lie. In the *mémoire* [9], Cartan classified all the classes of *simple* real (analytic) pseudo-groups, both transitive and intransitive, hence it is now quite easy to guess which should be the simple sub-groupoids contained in any $\Pi_k M$. Moreover, in order to do so, Cartan was forced to examine thoroughly the respective defining equations *i.e.*, the defining sub-groupoids. In order to determine the simple quotients, it will take a little additional effort and, of course, will entail a visible factorization procedure to be performed, at least locally, on the manifold M and on the pseudo-groups. One last word should be mentioned. The pseudo-groups considered above are in fact assumed to be $k-th$ order transitive which means that the $k-$th order prolongations of their elements, when restricted to the $k-th$ order defining differential systems, operate transitively.

We next discuss differential equations whose invariance groupoids display Jordan-Hölder resolutions and assume in advance all the necessary regularity conditions so as that everything should run smoothly though, in later sections, we shall also consider singular data that are indispensable in this theory. It has been already shown by B.Malgrange ([66],[67]) that we cannot always avoid singularities. In the above mentioned case, the singularities are not too bad being all contained in a closed *Zariski* subset. More recently, it has also been shown that singularities must be taken into consideration, this having been emphasized in the study of *Flag Systems* ([40], see also [35] and [36]). Recalling the definitions stated in [46] and given a fibration (surmersion)

$\pi : P \longrightarrow M$, a $k-th$ order differential system or partial differential equation of order k is a locally trivial sub-bundle $\mathcal{S}_k$ of the jet space $J_k\pi$. The groupoid of all the invertible $k-$jets on a manifold P will be denoted by $\Pi_k P$. We recall that a local diffeomorphism $\varphi : U \longrightarrow U'$ of P is said to be an *automorphism* of the differential system $\mathcal{S}_k$ when the $k-th$ prolongation of φ preserves the total space of the equation, this prolongation requiring of course some transversality hypotheses. The local automorphisms can be recognised by their *clones*, namely the $k-th$ order (local) contact transformations that preserve the total space of the equation. We finally denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k$ the restriction of the contact Pfaffian system $\mathcal{C}_k$, defined on $J_k\pi$, to the ambient space of the $k-th$ order equation $\mathcal{S}_k$. Let us denote by $Aut(\mathcal{S}_k)$ the pseudo-group composed by all the local diffeomorphism operating on P whose $k-th$ order prolongations preserve the equation $\mathcal{S}_k$. When this equation is in involution then $Aut(\mathcal{S}_k)$ is a Lie pseudo-group of order k and, furthermore, its $k-th$ order prolongation restricted to the underlying manifold of $\mathcal{S}_k$ is a *Cartan pseudo-group*, as described in the introduction, whose defining forms ω^i can be chosen among the local sections of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k$. No wonder that Élie Cartan never considered other that his legitimately own $(Cartan-)$ pseudo-groups.

The process of applying the techniques of the Jordan-Hölder resolutions to the integration of differential systems is an iterative process where, at each step $\{i, i+1\}$, essentially the same argumentation will take place. Therefore, in order to exhibit the method, we need not even worry that the series be Jordan-Hölder since, in fact, it can be any composition series.

Let us therefore take a sub-groupoid Γ_k of $\Pi_k P$, that leaves invariant and operates transitively on a given $k-th$ order differential system $\mathcal{S}_k$ and let us simply take a normal and closed sub-groupoid Σ_k of Γ_k. The first groupoid operates on the total space P of the fibration π by sending a *source* point onto the corresponding *target* point and we can start by taking the quotient manifold $P/\tilde{\Sigma}_k = \tilde{P}$ *i.e.*, the set of intransitivity classes, modulo the action of the sub-groupoid $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$, by all the elements of Σ_k that preserve the $\pi-$fibres of P *i.e.*, that transform points belonging to an arbitrary fibre into points belonging to the same fibre. The groupoid Σ_k operates, by the (conjugate) left action[2], on $J_k\pi$ and leaves invariant, by assumption, the system $\mathcal{S}_k$

[2]care must be taken to assure the projectablity, in M, of an element belonging to Σ_k, transversality conditions with respect to π being consequently required, where after the action on the $k-$jets is achieved by simple conjugation.

where after we can take the quotient $\mathcal{S}_k/\Sigma_k = \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k$ and, as Élie Cartan would candidly claim, *un calcul qui n'offre aucune difficulté montre alors que* $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k \subset J_k\tilde{\pi}$, where $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{E} \longrightarrow M$ is the obvious quotient projection. The differential system $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k$, the *automorphic* system, is then invariant by the quotient groupoid Γ_k/Σ_k, Σ_k being normal and closed. Given any solution $\tilde{\sigma}$ of the differential system $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k$, its inverse image in $\mathcal{S}_k$, via the quotient projection, becomes a differential system, the *resolvent system*, invariant under the groupoid Σ_k ([29, 31, 33, 37, 43]).

We might now ask ourselves why should we consider Jordan-Hölder resolutions? The groupoid $\Pi_k M$ is, for any manifold M, always simple since the pseudo-group of all the local transformations defined on a manifold M is simple (Lie dixit et Cartan confirmatur). However, there is not much sense in considering differential equations invariant under this groupoid since its solutions would be all the local sections. The $k-th$ order groupoid that leaves invariant, up to order k, a given volume form is also simple and the integration method, for a system invariant under such a groupoid (or under the corresponding volume preserving pseudo-group), is the well known (generalized) *Jacobi last multiplier* method (Lie dixit, [62, 64]). However, we should point out that Lie actually considered only *linear* transformations with constant coefficients that leaved invariant a fixed volume form. As for the $k-th$ order Darboux groupoid associated to the pseudo-group $Aut(\mathcal{D}_q)$, $k = 2q + 1$, it is a simple groupoid (Cartan dixit) and the integration method corresponding to differential systems invariant under this groupoid is the topic that has been discussed in the previous section concerning the beautiful *mémoire* of Élie Cartan. It deals, in fact, with the specific case of second order involutive systems (Monge equations) where the associated contact Pfaffian system is a *flag systems* of length two or three. In the next sections we shall give more attention to this subject and, in particular, shall also mention the integration method that corresponds to the *symplectic* groupoid that is also simple (Lie quoque Cartan dixerunt). As for the resolvent system, unfortunately anything can pop up. The only benefits that can be expected here are lower dimensions and an eventual *order* reduction. It turns out, as shown in the specific situation examined in [31], that the resolvent systems are simply very convenient auxiliary equations.

We now examine some special situations where the Jordan-Hölder series can be constructed and, inasmuch, the integrability problem solved. Unfortunately, the general context is far from being not only resolved but simply investigated.

(a) The abelian data.

A groupoid Γ is said to be abelian when the composition of any two elements commutes. On account of the composability requirements, this simply means that all he isotropy groups Γ_e are abelian. We can now choose a Jordan-Hölder resolution for a specific isotropy group Γ_{e_0}, this resolution having $1-$dimensional quotient, and this resolution will generate a corresponding Jordan-Hölder resolution for Γ. The integration of the differential system proceeds by quadratures. In fact, one can argue as in [38] by choosing a basis of invariant forms for the contact Pfaffian system associated to the equation $\mathcal{S}$.

(b) The solvable data.

The abelian case extends *ipso fato* to *solvable* groupoids since these groupoids also admit Jordan-Hölder resolutions with $1-$dimensional isotropy quotients.

(c) The nilpotent data.

Nilpotency being a particular case of solvability, not much can be added. However, this condition carries with itself some outstanding properties in what concerns principal resolutions. It can be shown that, in this case, Jordan-Hölder and principal resolutions coincide.

(d) The semi-simple and reductive data.

Here again we can proceed as in [38] in what concerns the several particular cases discussed there and where we shall replace the finite dimensional objects by their infinite dimensional counterparts ([4],[16] p.49). Needless to say that, in this extended context, we shall also find homotopy obstructions.

6. The Lie and Cartan Layout

In general, we consider a fibration $\pi : P \longrightarrow M$ and denote by $\mathcal{S}_k$ a differential system of order k defined over P namely, defined in the Jet space $J_k\pi$ and invariant under the action of a Lie pseudo-group Γ defined on P. As already mentioned earlier, it is unrealistic to try avoiding singularities but presently, and just for the sake of convenience, we shall assume that the differential system $\mathcal{S}_k$ is a locally trivial fibre sub-bundle of the Jet space $J_k\pi$ of all the $k-$jets of local sections of π (*cf.* [46]). Further, we shall assume that the pseudo-group Γ operates transitively, after $k-th$ order prolongation, on the given differential equation as well as on all its prolongations and say that

it operates transitively at all the orders, this latter condition being also paraphrased by saying that all the defining groupoids of orders $k+h,\ h \geq 0$, of the above pseudo-group, operate transitively (to the right) on the given equation and on all its prolongations. The first step, in view of integrating an equation, consists in determining the characteristics of $\mathcal{S}_k$ and, thereafter, reduce this differential system to its chatacteristic variables, the pseudo-group Γ inducing as well an action on the reduced system. The second step, thereafter, consist in associating to each equation the descending chain (composition series) formed by the iterated derived equations or derived Pfaffian systems (*systèmes déduits* in the terminology of Cartan, *cf.* [45]) and, with the help of this descending chains, determine the nature of the integration problem involving the given equation.

It also seems useful to recall, in this section, a few standard facts concerning Jet spaces. Given a fibration $\pi : P \longrightarrow M$ as above and denoting by $J_k\pi$ the space of all the $k-$jets of local sections of π, we first observe that $dim\ J_k\pi$ is odd for any $k \geq 1$. A local section τ, with respect to the *source* projection α_k, is said to be holonomic whenever it is of the form $j_k\sigma$ where σ is a local section of π *i.e.,* when it is equal, at each point, to the $k-$jet of a given (fixed) section σ. A first order contact element on $J_k\pi$ is also said to be holonomic whenever it is the tangent space, at some point, to the image of a holonomic section. The $k-th$ order canonical contact system $\mathcal{C}_k$ on the Jet space $J_k\pi$ is the Pfaffian system of maximum rank that annihilates all the holonomic linear contact elements. Equivalently, it is the system that vanishes on all the holonomic sections. A set of independent generators is then provided by:

$$\omega^\lambda = dy^\lambda - \sum\ p_i^\lambda dx^i,$$

$$\omega_i^\lambda = dp_i^\lambda - \sum\ p_{ij}^\lambda dx^j,$$

$$\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots$$

$$\omega_{i_1\ \ldots\ i_{k-1}}^\lambda = dp_{i_1\ \ldots\ i_{k-1}}^\lambda - \sum\ p_{i_1\ \ldots\ i_{k-1}j}^\lambda dx^j,$$

where $(x^1,\ \ldots\ , x^m)$ is a local coordinate system given in M, $(x^1,\ \ldots\ , x^m, y^1,\ \ldots\ , y^n)$ a compatible system in P, the p's are the remaining coordinates in $J_k\pi$ and where the above differential forms are invariant under any permutation of the lower indices (the order of successive differentiations is irrelevant). In what follows, we shall only be concerned with partial differential equations whose solutions are scalar functions depending on the variables x^i and consequently the

coordinates y^i reduce to a single coordinate still denoted by y. In sum, the total space P of the fibration π admits local coordinates of the form $(x^1, \ \dots \ , x^m, y)$. Furthermore, in order to comply with the standard indexation notations, we shall replace m by n hence, in definite, the local coordinates of P do write $(x^1, \ \dots \ , x^n, y)$. Consequently, we shall rewrite the above mentioned differential forms as adapted to the present situation namely,

$$\omega = dy - \sum \ p_i dx^i, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n$$
$$\omega_i = dp_i - \sum \ p_{ij} dx^j,$$
$$\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots\dots$$

$$\omega_{i_1 \ \dots \ i_{k-1}} = dp_{i_1 \ \dots \ i_{k-1}} - \sum \ p_{i_1 \ \dots \ i_{k-1} j} dx^j, \tag{11}$$

No doubt, there is much interest in studying differential equations where the unknowns are several scalar functions of the given n variables but this would force us to write in excess. It is no coincidence that Cartan had exactly the same feeling and, in [11], he developed a full theory, in all its generality, by only discussing it in the special case where $n = 3$. Believe it or not, he wrote 91 exquisite pages on the subject. We shall refer to the above limited context as being *the Cartan realm*[3].

We finally observe that the integration of the equation $\mathcal{S}_k$ is entirely equivalent to the search of the $n-$dimensional integral sub-manifolds of the restriction $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k$ of $\mathcal{C}_k$ to the equation $\mathcal{S}_k$ that, moreover, are transversal to the $\alpha-$fibres. The above described constructions are compatible with the prolongation procedures. It should be remarked that $\mathcal{C}_k$ is not integrable (in the sense of Pfaffian systems) though it is *in involution* in the sense of Cartan. Let us indicate the references [50] and [46] concerning the (standard) notion of involutiveness for systems of partial differential equations and Pfaffian system. Nevertheless, perhaps the best references, in the sense of pleasantness and usefulness providing its practical calculating aspects, the reader will find in [18] and [11], pg.6, *l.*-6, where Cartan provides the explicit values, necessarily maximal, for the dimensions of the successive algebraic symbols of the equation

[3]In view to helping the reader with Cartan's unorthodox notations, we explain the meaning of the expression $\partial\omega'_3/\partial(\omega_1\omega_{23})$ (*e.g.*, pg.35 *l.*15, -2 and the following pages). Inasmuch as the *total derivative* of a function, we can as well calculate the *total derivative* of a differential form ω (*cf.* [38] and [44]), the quotient meaning actually $\partial\omega'_3 \mod \partial\omega_1\omega_{23}$, ω' meaning $d\omega$ and, finally, $\omega_1\omega_2$ meaning $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$.

together with its prolongations. We also refer the reader to [45] for the notions of *characteristics* and *derived systems* together with their calculating algorithm. As a first step, we begin by examining systems that consist of a single equation which, nevertheless, provides all the flavor of what should be expected in the general case.

7. Single second order involutive equations

We begin by considering the $k-th$ order equation

$$F(x^i, y, p_i, p_{ij}, \ \dots) = 0$$

and do not specify, for the time being, any class requirements, just the everywhere non-vanishing of the partial derivatives $\partial F/\partial x^i$. In a more formal setting, we can take a fibration $\pi : P \longrightarrow M$, with the previously mentioned dimensional limitations, and a sub-manifold $\mathcal{S}_k$ of $J_k\pi$ satisfying the following two properties:

(a) *codim* $\mathcal{S}_k = 1$ and

(b) $\alpha_k : \mathcal{S}_k \longrightarrow M$ is surmersive while $\beta_k : \mathcal{S}_k \longrightarrow P$ is just submersive, α_k and β_k being the source and target maps respectively.

Loosely speaking, the characteristics of a system of partial differential equations are a finite family of independent functions such that the given system can be re-written in terms of these functions, the number of these functions being minimum. The formal aspects of the previous hint run as follows. The equation $\mathcal{S}_k$ being given, we consider its associated contact Pfaffian system $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k$, restriction of $\mathcal{C}_k$ to $\mathcal{S}_k$ and, via *inner products* (*cf.*[35]), define subsequently its *characteristic system* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_k$. This latter system is not necessarily a regular Pfaffian system though the following result, due to Cartan, holds (its proof being far from trivial).

Lemma 2. *The characteristic system associated to an involutive system of partial differential equations is always regular.*

A simple though fancy example shows up when the rank of the characteristic system is maximum *i.e.,* when $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_k = T^*\mathcal{S}_k$, this being the case for the *Laplacian* or, more precisely, the *Laplace equation.* We invite the reader to perform here *all* the necessary calculations that are far from being few. Curiously enough, a simple glimpse at the Laplace equation will convince anybody that this equation *cannot* be re-written with a lesser number of variables.

Continuing with our discussion on characteristics, we claim that the characteristic system is always integrable (*cf.*[35]) and therefore determines an *integral foliation*. When this system has maximum rank, the leaves reduce to points whereas, when the rank is null, the leaves become the connected components of the underlying manifold. Most often, a foliation does not factor differentiably, modulo its leaves, since non-trivial holonomy groups at some points might represent an obstruction. A criterion for the quotientability of a foliation can be found via Pradine's holonomy groupoid ([72]). Nevertheless, we can always proceed with a local factorization in an open neighborhood of any point. Proceeding thus, locally, not only we obtain a quotient manifold W but, far more interesting, the contact system $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k$ factors to a Pfaffian system $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k,W}$ that has null characteristics which means that it cannot be further reduced. Any local coordinate system in W becomes then a characteristic system for the initially given equation $\mathcal{S}_k$ which, on its turn, can be reduced and re-written in terms of a lesser number of variables *i.e.*, can be re-defined by a $k-th$ order equation in a lower dimensional Jet space. A typical example is shown in the section 3.

Once the above reduction to the sole characteristic variables has been performed, the next step consists in trying to detect the appropriate integration method or procedure which shall be unveiled by the nature of the corresponding *derived composition series* of the $k-th$ order contact Pfaffian system associated to the equation together with those corresponding to lower orders. To each given configuration of these composition series some integration procedure should be devised and, of course, the invariance pseudo-group as well as the invariance groupoids for the given equation will become crucial, the Jordan-Hölder decompositions for these finite or infinitesimal objects providing considerable help in this task as was already shown and discussed in the section 5 of the Part II. At present, we just mention that Cartan, in [11], gave a full account for the differential equations in three independent variables. Not only he determined, in this realm, all the reductions via the characteristics as well as placed in evidence the different integration methods by exhibiting all the corresponding *derived series* but went much further by indicating the explicit integration procedures. Though veiled in behind of his calculations mainly, as already mentioned before, due to the fact that it is rather inconvenient to compute in the quotients, one can in fact discern, in this work, some traces of Jordan-Hölder series. Let us just add a few words concerning this remarkable *mémoire*.

In the Cartan realm, the first order full contact system is given by the form $\omega = dy - p_i dx^i$ and, in second order, by the forms $\{\omega, \omega_i\}$, where $\omega_i = dp_i - p_{ij}dx^j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$. Furthermore, three possibilities for the derived sequence do arise. The first one shows up, exemplified by the Laplace equation, when the class of the system is null *i.e.*, when the corresponding characteristic system is equal to the whole co-tangent bundle. It then results directly from the definitions that this property is equivalent to the vanishing of the first derived system of the associated second order contact system, thus the derived sequence reduces to $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2 \supset 0$. At the other end, exemplified for instance by the truncated Laplace equation $\partial^2 f/\partial x_1^2$, we find the descending derived chain $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2 \supset (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2)_1 = (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2)_2$ with $rank(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2)_1 = 3$, the second term being therefore integrable. As for the remaining two cases (*cf.*[11], p.18, *l.*(-7)-(-4) and p.21, *l.*2-5), we find for both the same type of derived chain namely, a chain of the form $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2 \supset (\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2)_1 \supset 0$ where the rank of $(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_2)_1$ is equal to one. The difference between the two cases relies in the dimensions of the characteristics, two in the first case and one in the second though, of course, mainly relies in the different structures of the initial contact systems, these structures being most relevant in devising the corresponding integration methods. The above rather succinct discussion is very carefully examined by Cartan and we should point out that, when integration is actually under consideration, we must assure ourselves that all the data is *real analytic* since there are examples, in the C^∞ realm, of involutive partial differential equations that do not admit any solution at all. We should also mention that Cartan examines partial differential equations defined by 2, 3, 4 and 5 equations, more than 5 equations becoming redundant, and the richest situation is by far that involving two equations. In this case, a second family of characteristics - the double Monge characteristics - can be defined, the confrontation of the two rendering much useful additional information. The reader might well guess that the most interesting situation arises when the two characteristics coincide. In any case, the reading of Cartan's 91 pages is pure delight.

8. Two and more second order involutive equations

We start the discussion with the systems formed by two equation or, in other words, with the differential systems defined by sub-manifolds of co-dimension equal to 2 and shall find considerable resemblance between the corresponding situation in the space of five variables (section 3). In fact, two distinct situations arise though the derived sequences are quite similar.

Examining the congruences explicited in the Cartan realm ([11], pg. 29, *l.* 13-16 and pg. 34, *l.* 2-5), we find for both derived sequences the same form namely, $\mathcal{S} \supset (\mathcal{S})_1 \supset 0$ with $rank\ (\mathcal{S})_1 = 1$. Moreover, $(\mathcal{S})_1$ is simply the system of rank 1 obtained by lifting, to second order jets, the first order contact system hence $(\mathcal{S})_1$ is Darboux since its first derived system is null. The distinction between the two cases has to do, mainly, with their characteristics though the natures of their first derived systems are quite different. In the first case, the standard characteristics are $1-$dimensional whereas, the so called *double Monge characteristics* always do exist and are $2-$dimensional, the tangent spaces to the above distinct characteristics being transversal. Cartan shows that such systems can always be integrated by the *Monge method* (*cf.,* [10] and sect. 3). The second case, considerably more intricate that the first one, is in fact separated in three distinct sub-cases depending on whether the system possesses double Monge characteristics or not, the standard characteristics being always $1-$dimensional. Cartan exhibits remarkably clarifying examples of these three possibilities and eventually proves that such systems can, notwithstanding, be integrated by means of ordinary differential equations.

We finally mention that, for systems with the largest number of equations *i.e.,* those for which the representative sub-manifolds, in Jet spaces, have the least admissible (and significant) dimensions, necessarily equal to $dimP + 1$, the associated contact Pfaffian systems are always integrable.

It should nevertheless be mentioned that Cartan, as is customary, writes many pages of calculations and, worse, omits many more, to such an extent that in this *mémoire* it seems hopeless to try to unveil, in behind the curtains, any Jordan-Hölder scheme quite to the contrary of what becomes rather apparent in [10].

9. The Darboux Layout

Perhaps the most interesting and inspiring integration method devised by Élie Cartan has to do with the Darboux contact structures ([10],[38]) though, undoubtedly, the *mémoires* [11] and [13] are also extraordinary and bring invaluable information.

Let us recall that on a manifold M of odd dimension 2q+1, a *Darboux contact structure* $\mathcal{D}_q$ is a Pfaffian system of rank 1 and of maximum

Cartan class 2q+1 [4]. It is locally generated by a Pfaffian form

$$\omega = dx^0 + x^1\ dx^2 + ... + x^{2q-1}\ dx^{2q}.$$

According to Lie ([64]), the pseudo-group $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_q)$ of all the local transformations of M that preserve the above Darboux system is simple. We shall now have a closer look at the differential systems transitively invariant under this pseudo-group or, for that matter, under the corresponding defining groupoids that, of course, are Lie and show how such systems do also reduce to spaces with 2n+1 variables. In [10], Cartan examines this phenomenon for $q = 1, 2$ and, in [11], for $q = 3$. The argument, in the general case, is quite similar though worthwhile to examine since it involves the characteristics of the associated contact Pfaffian systems on Jet spaces and, more precisely, on their restrictions to the given differential system and to its prolongations.

Let us then consider a fibration $\pi : P \longrightarrow M$ and denote by $\mathcal{S}_k$ a differential system of order k defined over P and invariant under the action of the simple pseudo-group $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_q)$. We also assume that the fibre dimension of π is equal to one and, in order to avoid (unduly) technical complications, that the differential system $\mathcal{S}_k$ is a locally trivial fibre sub-bundle of the Jet space $J_k\pi$ of all the $k-$jets of local sections of π (*cf.*[46]). Finally, we assume that the given action is transitive at all the orders as already specified previously.

Since *dim* $J_k\pi$ is odd and the *co-dimension* of $\mathcal{S}_k$ is equal to 1 (we are still considering a single equation), it follows that the *dimension* of $\mathcal{S}_k$ is even. The second order full contact Pfaffian system as well as the restricted one have both rank $n + 1$. This restricted system factors into a system defined on an odd dimensional manifolds, one dimension less than that underlying the given equation, as soon as the double Monge characteristics, of the given equation, are integrable. Inasmuch as in the case of 5 variables, it can be shown that all such systems are locally equivalent, the Pfaffian systems corresponding to the reductions becoming a Cartan homogeneous flag of length n. Furthermore, the pseudo-groups of all their local automorphism are the appropriate prolongations of $Aut(\mathcal{D}_q)$, $2q + 1 = n + 1$, that, on the infinitesimal level, can be identified with the algebra of all the vector fields on $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. Finally, we claim that all these systems are locally equivalent to the generalized Monge-Ampère equation involving all the second order partial derivatives, this equation becoming a model.

[4]The class of a rank 1 Pfaffian system is always odd independently of the underlying manifold's dimension

Acknowledgements. *Il nous est gré de laisser en évidence nos remerciements à notre collègue et ami Jean Pradines qui nous a donné de précieuses suggestions ainsi qu'a manifesté de sévères critiques suivies au pied de la lettre sauve rares exceptions. De même, nous tenons à manifester nos remerciements à notre ami Rui Almeida pour les discussions très agréables et surtout fort profitables et amusantes.*

References

[1] R. Almeida. Teoria de Lie para os grupóides diferenciáveis. *Thesis, Universidade de São Paulo*, 1980.
[2] R. Almeida and P. Molino. Suites d'Atiyah et feuilletages transversalement complets. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 300:13–15, 1985.
[3] R. Brown. Three themes in the work of Charles Ehresmann: Local-to-global; groupoids; higher dimensions. *Polish Academy, Bedlewo*, 2010.
[4] E. Cartan. Sur la structure des groupes simples finis et continus. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 116:784–786, 1893.
[5] E. Cartan. Sur la structure des groupes de transformation finis et continus. *Thèse, Paris, Nony, 2e. Éd., Vuibert, 1933*, 1894.
[6] E. Cartan. Sur la structure des groupes infinis de transformation. *Annales Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 21:153–206, 1904.
[7] E. Cartan. Sur la structure des groupes infinis de transformation (suite). *Annales Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 22:219–308, 1905.
[8] E. Cartan. Les sous-groupes des groupes continus de transformations. *Annales Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 25:57–194, 1908.
[9] E. Cartan. Les groupes de transformations continus, infinis, simples. *Annales Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 26:93–161, 1909.
[10] E. Cartan. Les systèmes de Pfaff à cinq variables et les équations aux dérivées partielles du second ordre. *Annales Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 27:109–192, 1910.
[11] E. Cartan. Sur les systèmes en involution d'équations aux dérivées partielles du second ordre à une fonction inconnue de trois variables indépendantes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 39:352–443, 1911.
[12] E. Cartan. Les groupes réels simples finis et continus. *Annales Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 31:263–355, 1914.
[13] E. Cartan. Sur l'équivalence absolue de certains systèmes d'équations différentielles et sur certaines familles de courbes. *Bull. Soc. Math. de France*, 42:12–48, 1914.
[14] E. Cartan. *Leçons sur les invariants intégraux*. Hermann, Paris, 1921,1958.
[15] E. Cartan. Sur les représentations linéaires des groupes clos. *Comment. Math. Helvet.*, 2:269–283, 1930.
[16] E. Cartan. La structure des groupes infinis. *Selecta*, 4:1–50, 1938.
[17] E. Cartan. La théorie de galois et ses généralisations. *Comment. Math. Helvet.*, 11:9–25, 1938/39.
[18] E. Cartan. *Les systèmes différentiels extérieurs et leurs applications géométrique*. Hermann, Paris, 1945.
[19] C. de Barros. Some characterizations for groupoids. *C.R. Acad. Bulg.Sci.*, 22:495–498, 1869.

[20] Ch. Ehresmann. Gattungen von lokalen Strukturen. *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung*, 60:49–77, 1958.
[21] Ch. Ehresmann. Esquisses d'un folklore de géométrie différentielle. *Cahiers Topol. Géom. Différ., C. Ehresmann*, 1967.
[22] Ch. Ehresmann. Sur les catégories différentiables. *Atti. Conv. Int. Geom. Diff., Bologna*, 1967.
[23] A. Giaro, A. Kumpera, and C. Ruiz. Sur la lecture correcte d'un résultat d'Élie Cartan. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 287:241–244, 1978.
[24] E. Goursat. *Leçons sur l'intégration des équations différentielles aux dérivées partielles du premier ordre*. Hermann, 1891.
[25] E. Goursat. *Leçons sur l'intégration des équations aux dérivées partielles du second ordre, Vol. 1,2*. Gauthier-Villars, 1898.
[26] E. Goursat. Sur le Problème de Monge. *Bull. Soc. Math. de France*, 33:201–210, 1905.
[27] E. Goursat. *Leçons sur le Problème de Pfaff*. Hermann, 1922.
[28] A. Kumpera. A theorem on cartan pseudogroups. *Cahiers Topol. Géom. Différ., C. Ehresmann*, 1963.
[29] A. Kumpera. Sur l'intégration d'une classe remarquable de systèmes différentiels automorphes par la méthode de sophus lie. *Cahiers Topol. Géom. Différ., C. Ehresmann*, 1965.
[30] A. Kumpera. *An introduction to Lie groupoids*. Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 1971.
[31] A. Kumpera. Sistemas diferenciales invariantes por un pseudogrupo de transformaciones. *Acta Cient. Compostelana*, 10:127–135, 1973.
[32] A. Kumpera. Remarques sur l'équivalence locale des structures de contact: Méthodes de Lie. *Istit. Naz. Alta Mat., Symposia Mathematica*, 14:207–217, 1974.
[33] A. Kumpera. Invariants différentiels d'un pseudogroupe de Lie, I, II. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 10:279–335,347–417, 1975.
[34] A. Kumpera. Suites de Jordan-Hölder et principales d'un groupe de Lie. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 15:307–353, 1980.
[35] A. Kumpera. Intégration des distributions singulières sur les variétés banachiques. *Istit. Analisi Globale e Applic., Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche*, 1:1–67, 1982.
[36] A. Kumpera. Les symétries généralisées et le complexe d'Euler-Lagrange. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 38:589–622, 1991.
[37] A. Kumpera. Flag systems and ordinary differential equations. *Annali Math. Pura ed Appl.*, 177:315–329, 1999.
[38] A. Kumpera. On the Lie and Cartan theory of invariant differential systems. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 6:229–314, 1999.
[39] A. Kumpera. An introduction to Lie groupoids. *arXiv*, 1512.01454:44, 2015.
[40] A. Kumpera. Automorphisms of Flag Systems. *arXiv*, 1411.0951:25, 2015.
[41] A. Kumpera. On The Equivalence Problem for Geometric Structures, I, II. *arXiv*, 1412.8391 and 8394:55+38, 2015.
[42] A. Kumpera. On The Equivalence Problem for Geometric Structures, I, II. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Advances and Applications, http://www.scientificadvances.co.in/artical/1/179*, 1412.8394:38, 2015.

[43] A. Kumpera and R. Kumpera. Sobre a integração de sistemas diferenciais de primeira ordem. *Seminário brasileiro de análise*, 166:321–326, 1985.
[44] A. Kumpera and J. Rubin. Multi-Flag Systems and Ordinary Differential Equations. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 166:1–27, 2002.
[45] A. Kumpera and C. Ruiz. Sur l'équivalence locale des systèmes de Pfaff en drapeau. *F. Gherardelli (Ed.), Monge-Ampère Equations and Related Topics, Firenze 1980, Proceedings, Istit. Naz. Alta Mat. "Francesco Severi", Roma*, pages 201–248, 1982.
[46] A. Kumpera and D. Spencer. *Lie Equations, Vol.1: General Theory.* Princeton University Press, 1972.
[47] R. Kumpera. Sur l'intégration des systèmes différentiels du premier ordre. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, I301:589–592, 1972.
[48] M. Kuranishi. On the local theory of continuous infinite pseudogroups II. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 1961.
[49] M. Kuranishi. *The classification of complex simple continuous transitive infinite pseudo-groups according to E. Cartan.* Princeton University Press, 1961.
[50] M. Kuranishi. *Lectures on involutive systems of partial differential equations.* Universidade de São Paulo, 1967.
[51] S. Lie. Geschichtliche Bemerkungen zur allgemeinen Theorie der partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung. *Gesam. Abh., Band VII, Abh. XIII*, pages 175–216, 1871.
[52] S. Lie. Zur Geschichte der partiellen Differentialgleichungen 1. Ordnung. *Gesam. Abh., Band VII, Abh. XIIIa*, pages 217–219, 1872.
[53] S. Lie. Allgemeine Theorie partieller Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung. *Christ. Forh.*, pages 198–226, 1874.
[54] S. Lie. Begründung einer Invarianten Theorie der Berührungstransformationen. *Math. Ann.*, 8,9:215–288,289–303, 1874,1875.
[55] S. Lie. Allgemeine Theorie der partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung. *Math. Ann.*, 9:245–296, 1875.
[56] S. Lie. Allgemeine Theorie partieller Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung. II. *Christ. Forh.*, pages 1–15, 1875.
[57] S. Lie. Diskussion aller Integrationsmethoden der partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung. *Christ. Forh.*, pages 16–48, 1875.
[58] S. Lie. Résumé einer neuen Integrationstheorie . *Arch. for Math.*, I-3:335–340,341–366, 1876.
[59] S. Lie. Allgemeine Theorie der partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung. Zweite Abhandlung. *Math. Ann.*, 11:464–557, 1877.
[60] S. Lie. Theorie der Transformationsgruppen. *Math. Annalen*, 16:441–528, 1880.
[61] S. Lie. Über Differentialinvarianten. *Math. Annalen*, 24:537–578, 1884.
[62] S. Lie. Allgemeine Untersuchungen über Differentialgleichungen die eine kontinuierliche, endliche Gruppe gestatten. *Math. Ann.*, 25:77–151, 1885.
[63] S. Lie. Klassifikation und Integration von gewönlichen Differentialgleichungen zwischen x,y, die eine Gruppe von Transformationen gestatten. *Math. Ann.*, 32:213–281, 1888.
[64] S. Lie. Verwertung des Gruppenbegriffes für Differentialgleichungen, I. *Ber. Ges. Leipzig, Math-Phys.*, 15:261–322, 1895.
[65] K. Mackenzie. *Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids in differential geometry.* Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[66] B. Malgrange. Pseudogroupes de Lie elliptiques. *Collège de France*, 1970.
[67] B. Malgrange. Équations de Lie, I,II. *J. Differ. Geom.*, 6,7:503–522,117–141, 1972.
[68] Y. Matsushima. On a theorem concerning the prolongation of a differential system. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 6, 1953.
[69] Y. Matsushima. Sur les algèbres de Lie linéaires semi-involutives. *Coll. Topologie Strasbourg*, 1954.
[70] J. Pradines. Théorie de Lie pour les groupoïdes différentiables. Relations entre propriétés locales et globales. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, A263:907–910, 1966.
[71] J. Pradines. Quotients de groupoïdes différentiables. Relations entre propriétés locales et globales. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, t. 303, Série I, n. 16:907–910, 1986.
[72] J. Pradines. Groupoïdes de Lie et feuilletages. *arXix:0711.2462, math.GT*, 1:1194–1196, 2007.
[73] J. Pradines. In Ehresmann's footsteps: From group geometries to groupoid geometries. *arXix:0711.1608v1, math.DG*, 1:1–68, 2007.

FIGURE 1. The Universe

(Antonio Kumpera) CAMPINAS STATE UNIVERSITY, CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL
E-mail address: antoniokumpera@gmail.com